

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/042,317	KOJIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher M. Keehan	1712	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher M. Keehan.

(2) Joseph Ruch.

Date of Interview: 8 January 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4:00

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2-4

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was suggested to applicant to change the dependency of claim 3 from claim 4 to claim 2, to obviate antecedent basis issues, and applicant agreed.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)